

1 BRAMSON, PLUTZIK, MAHLER & BIRKHAUSER, LLP
Alan R. Plutzik (State Bar No. 077785)
2 L. Timothy Fisher (State Bar No. 191626)
2125 Oak Grove Road, Suite 120
3 Walnut Creek, CA 94598
Telephone: (925) 945-0200
4 Facsimile: (925) 945-8792

5 LAW OFFICES OF SCOTT A. BURSOR
Scott A. Bursor (*pro hac vice*)
6 369 Lexington Avenue, 10th Floor
New York, NY 10017-6531
7 Telephone: (212) 989-9113
8 Facsimile: (212) 989-9163

9 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
10 [Names and Addresses of Other Plaintiffs'
Counsel Appear on Signature Page]

11
12
13 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
14 FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

15 In re:
16
17 CELLPHONE TERMINATION FEE CASES
18
19 This Document Relates To:
20 *Mendoza, et al. v. Cingular Wireless LLC, et al.*
21 *Meoli, et al. v. AT&T Wireless PCS, LLC, et al.*
22

JCCP No. 4332
Class Action
**AMENDED STIPULATION REGARDING
CLASS DEFINITION**

1 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between defendants and plaintiffs as follows:

2 Meoli v. AT&T Wireless PCS, LLC et al. (the "Meoli Action")

3 1. The AT&T Wireless Class in *Meoli v. AT&T Wireless PCS, LLC, et al.* shall be
4 defined as "all persons who have or had an AT&T Wireless account with a California area code
5 and a California billing address and who purchased a locked handset from AT&T Wireless from
6 March 12, 1999 through and including October 26, 2004."

7 2. The AT&T Wireless Consumer Subclass in the *Meoli* Action shall be defined as "all
8 persons who have or had an AT&T Wireless personal account with a California area code and a
9 California billing address who purchased a locked handset from AT&T Wireless from March 12,
10 1999 through and including October 26, 2004."

11 3. The AT&T Wireless Arbitration Subclass in the *Meoli* Action shall be defined as "all
12 members of the Consumer Subclass who are or were parties to AT&T Wireless Service
13 Agreements dated January 1, 2001 through and including October 26, 2004."

14 Mendoza v. Cingular Wireless LLC, et al. (the Mendoza Action")

15 4. The Cingular Class in the *Mendoza* Action shall be defined as "all persons who have
16 or had a Cingular account with a California area code and a California billing address and who
17 purchased a locked handset (other than an iPhone) from Cingular from March 12, 1999 through
18 and including December 31, 2009, and all persons who have or had an AT&T Wireless account
19 with a California area code and a California billing address and who purchased a locked handset
20 from AT&T Wireless from October 27, 2004 through and including December 31, 2009."

21 5. The Cingular Consumer Subclass in the *Mendoza* Action shall be defined as "all
22 persons who have or had a Cingular personal account with a California area code and a
23 California billing address and who purchased a locked handset (other than an iPhone) from
24 Cingular from March 12, 1999 through and including December 31, 2009, and all persons who
25 have or had an AT&T Wireless personal account with a California area code and a California
26 billing address and who purchased a locked handset from AT&T Wireless from October 27,
27 2004 through and including December 31, 2009."

28

1 6. The Cingular Arbitration Subclass in the *Mendoza* Action shall be defined as "all
2 members of the Cingular Consumer Subclass who are or were parties to Cingular Service
3 Agreements dated January 1, 2001 through December 31, 2009, or to an AT&T Wireless Service
4 Agreement dated after October 26, 2004."

5 Forms of Notice

6 7. Exhibit A hereto shall be the long-form notice for e-mail and website dissemination
7 pursuant to the Court's prior orders. Exhibit B hereto shall be the form of notice used for
8 newspaper publication pursuant to the Court's prior orders.

9 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

10 Dated: January ²¹~~20~~, 2010

DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP
Michael J. Stortz
50 Fremont Street, 20th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94105
Telephone: (415) 591-7500

14 By: 
MICHAEL J. STORTZ

16 Attorneys for Defendants

17 Dated: January ¹~~20~~, 2010

BRAMSON, PLUTZIK, MAHLER &
BIRKHAUSER, LLP
Alan R. Plutzik (State Bar No. 077785)
L. Timothy Fisher (State Bar No. 191626)
2125 Oak Grove Road, Suite 120
Walnut Creek, CA 94598
Telephone: (925) 945-0200
Facsimile: (925) 945-8792

22 By: 
Alan R. Plutzik

LAW OFFICES OF SCOTT A. BURSOR
Scott A. Bursor
500 Seventh Avenue, 10th Floor
New York, NY 10018
Telephone: (212) 989-9113
Facsimile: (212) 989-9163

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

FARUQI & FARUQI, LLP
Adam Gonnelli (pro hac vice)
369 Lexington Avenue, 10th Floor
New York, NY 10017-6531
Telephone: (212) 983-9330
Facsimile: (212) 983-9331

GILMAN AND PASTOR, LLP
David Pastor (pro hac vice)
225 Franklin Street, 16th Floor
Boston, MA 02110
Telephone: (617) 742-9700

REICH RADCLIFFE LLP
Marc G. Reich (State Bar No. 159936)
4675 MacArthur Court, Suite 550
Newport Beach, CA 92660
Telephone: (949) 975-0512

WEISS & LURIE
Jordan Lurie (State Bar No. 130013)
10940 Wilshire Blvd., 24th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90024
Telephone: (310) 208-2800

FOUNDATION FOR TAXPAYER AND
CONSUMER RIGHTS
Harvey Rosenfield (State Bar No. 123082)
Pamela M. Pressley (State Bar No. 180362)
1750 Ocean Park Blvd., #200
Santa Monica, CA 90405-4938
Telephone: (310) 392-0522
Facsimile: (310) 392-8874

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

EXHIBIT A

If you bought a cellphone other than an iPhone from AT&T Wireless, Cingular or AT&T Mobility, class action lawsuits may affect your rights.

A court authorized this notice. This is not a solicitation from a lawyer.

- In two lawsuits, cellphone customers in California have sued AT&T Wireless Services, Inc. and affiliated companies ("AT&T Wireless"), and Cingular Wireless LLC, now known as AT&T Mobility, and affiliated companies ("Cingular"), alleging that AT&T Wireless and Cingular violated California law by locking cellphone handsets to make it difficult for customers to switch cell phone service providers without buying a new handset, and also by failing to disclose that such handsets are locked.
- The Court has allowed the lawsuits to go forward as class actions on behalf of (1) all persons who have or had an AT&T Wireless account with a California area code and a California billing address and who purchased a locked handset from AT&T Wireless from March 12, 1999 through and including October 26, 2004 (the "AT&T Wireless Class"), and (2) all persons who have or had a Cingular account with a California area code and a California billing address and who purchased a locked handset (other than an iPhone) from Cingular from March 12, 1999 through and including December 31, 2009, and all persons who have or had an AT&T Wireless account with a California area code and a California billing address and who purchased a locked handset from AT&T Wireless from October 27, 2004 through and including December 31, 2009 (the "Cingular Class").
- Both the AT&T Wireless Class and the Cingular Class have two subclasses within them. The AT&T Consumer Subclass consists of all persons who have or had an AT&T Wireless personal account with a California area code and a California billing address who purchased a locked handset from AT&T Wireless from March 12, 1999 through and including October 26, 2004. The AT&T Wireless Arbitration Subclass consists of all members of the AT&T Wireless Consumer Subclass who are or were parties to AT&T Wireless Service Agreements dated January 1, 2001 through and including October 26, 2004. The Cingular Consumer Subclass consists of all persons who have or had a Cingular personal account with a California area code and a California billing address and who purchased a locked handset (other than an iPhone) from Cingular from March 12, 1999 through and including December 31, 2009, and all persons who have or had an AT&T Wireless personal account with a California area code and a California billing address and who purchased a locked handset from AT&T Wireless from October 27, 2004 through and including December 31, 2009. The Cingular Wireless Arbitration Subclass consists of all members of the Cingular Consumer Subclass who are or were parties to Cingular Service Agreements dated January 1, 2001 through December 31, 2009, or to an AT&T Wireless Service Agreement dated after October 26, 2004. Excluded from the Classes and all

Subclasses are any judicial officer presiding over these actions, the members of his/her immediate family, and any juror assigned to these actions.

- The Court has not decided whether the Classes' claims have any merit. There is no money available now, and no guarantee there will be. However, your legal rights are affected, and you have a choice to make now:

YOUR LEGAL RIGHTS AND OPTIONS IN EACH OF THESE LAWSUITS	
DO NOTHING	<p>Stay in the lawsuit. Await the outcome. Give up certain rights.</p> <p>By doing nothing, you keep the possibility of getting money or benefits that may come from a trial or a settlement. But, you give up any rights to sue AT&T Wireless or Cingular separately about the same legal claims in the lawsuit.</p>
ASK TO BE EXCLUDED	<p>Get out of the lawsuit. Get no benefits from it. Keep rights.</p> <p>If you ask to be excluded and money or benefits are later awarded, you won't share in those. But, you keep any rights to sue AT&T Wireless or Cingular separately about the same legal claims in this lawsuit.</p>

- Your options are explained in this notice. To ask to be excluded, you must act before March 15, 2010.
- Lawyers for the Class will try to prove the claims against AT&T Wireless and Cingular in this litigation, including at a trial, if necessary. If money or benefits are obtained from AT&T Wireless or Cingular, you will be notified about how to ask for a share.
- **Any questions? Read on, or visit www.attlockinglawsuits.com, or contact class counsel at classcounsel@attlockinglawsuits.com [or by replying to this email – FOR E-MAIL VERSION ONLY].**

BASIC INFORMATION

1. Why did I get this notice?

You may have purchased a cellphone handset from either AT&T Wireless or Cingular, or both, with a California area code and a California billing address between March 12, 1999 and December 31, 2009. This notice explains that the Court has allowed, or "certified," two class action lawsuits that may affect you. You have legal rights and options that you may

exercise before the Court holds trials in these two cases. The trials are to decide whether the claims being made against AT&T and Cingular, on your behalf, are correct. Judge Winifred Y. Smith of the Superior Court of California, County of Alameda, is overseeing these two class actions. The lawsuits are known as *Meoli, et al. v. AT&T Wireless PCS, LLC, et al.*, Case No. RG 03086113, and *Mendoza, et al. v. Cingular Wireless LLC, et al.*, Case No. RG 03114152.

2. What are these lawsuits about?

These lawsuits allege, respectively, that AT&T Wireless and Cingular violated California law by locking cellphone handsets to make it difficult for customers to switch cell phone service providers without buying a new handset, and also by failing to disclose a number of material facts, including that such handsets are locked.

3. What is a class action and who is involved?

In a class action lawsuit, one or more people called "Class Representatives" sue on behalf of other people who have similar claims. The people together are a "Class" or "Class Members." The AT&T Wireless and Cingular customers who sued – and all the Class Members like them – are called the Plaintiffs. The companies they sued (in these two cases, AT&T Wireless and Cingular) are called the Defendants. One court resolves the issues for everyone in the Class – except for those people who choose to exclude themselves from the Class.

4. Who are the Class Representatives?

The Class Representatives for the case against AT&T Wireless are Porsha Meoli, Sridhar Krishnan, Leslie Armstrong Bernardi, Riley Clark, Steve Kozack, Jennifer Preuss, Betty Jennings, and Joseph Panganiban for the class's claims of unfair business practices under the California Unfair Competition Law, California Business & Professions Code Sections 17200 *et seq.* (the "UCL") and Riley Clark, Steve Kozack and Joseph Panganiban for the class's claims of fraudulent business practices under the UCL and the Subclass's claims under the California Consumer Legal Remedies Act ("CLRA") (the "Deception-Based Claims").

The Class Representatives for the case against Cingular are Astrid Mendoza, Ron Ng, Kistler & Kistler, Richard Yates, Mike Freeland and Jill Bonnington with respect to the class's claims of unfair business practices under the UCL and Ron Ng, Kistler & Kistler, Richard Yates, Mike Freeland and Jill Bonnington with respect to the class's Deception-Based Claims.

5. Why are these lawsuits class actions?

The Court decided that these lawsuits can be class actions and move towards a trial because they meet the requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure § 382, and California Civil Code § 1781, which govern class actions in California state courts.

More information about why the Court is allowing these lawsuits to be class actions is in the

Court's Order Granting Motions for Class Certification in Cingular and AT&T Wireless Handset Cases, which is available at www.attlockinglawsuits.com.

THE CLAIMS IN THE LAWSUITS

6. What are the plaintiffs' claims in these lawsuits?

In these lawsuits, the Plaintiffs contend that AT&T Wireless and Cingular secretly locked customers' handsets with software programming locks, sometimes called "SIM locks," to make it impossible or impracticable for customers to modify the programming of their handsets and/or switch cell phone service providers without purchasing a new handset. Plaintiffs allege that by locking handsets in this manner, AT&T Wireless and Cingular violated the UCL and the CLRA, and failed to disclose material information about the locks. You can read the Plaintiffs' Class Action Complaints at www.attlockinglawsuits.com.

7. How do AT&T Wireless and Cingular answer?

Both AT&T Wireless and Cingular deny any wrongdoing and deny the Plaintiffs' allegations. They contend that their customers are not harmed by their handset locks because AT&T Wireless and Cingular told customers when they purchased the phones that they would not work with other service providers. AT&T Wireless and Cingular also assert that some other wireless service providers use different network technologies than they do, so AT&T Wireless and Cingular phones wouldn't work on those networks even if they had not been locked. You can read the AT&T Wireless Defendants' Answer and Affirmative Defenses to Fourth Amended Consolidated Complaint [Handset Locking] and the Cingular Wireless Defendants' Answer and Affirmative Defenses to Fourth Amended Consolidated Complaint [Handset Locking] at www.attlockinglockinglawsuits.com.

8. Has the Court decided who is right?

The Court has not decided whether the Classes' claims are correct. By establishing the Classes and issuing this Notice, the Court is not suggesting that the Classes will win or lose these cases. The Classes must prove their claims in each of these cases, including at trials, if necessary. Before it certified the Classes, the Court ruled that five of the eight Class Representatives for the case against AT&T Wireless did not have an individual Deception-Based Claim, and it ruled that one of the six Class Representatives for the case against Cingular did not have an individual Deception-Based Claim. The Court otherwise found that the claims of the Class Representatives could proceed.

9. What are the Plaintiffs asking for?

The Plaintiffs are asking for, among other things, money damages measured by (a) the difference in value between locked and unlocked phones, based on their allegation that unlocked phones are worth more than locked phones, or (b) the cost to unlock the phone, based on the price charged by independent providers of unlocking services. The Plaintiffs

are also asking for an order directing AT&T Wireless and Cingular to provide the unlock code to each Class member so that they can unlock their phones on their own, for an order forbidding AT&T Wireless and Cingular from continuing to secretly lock phones, and/or for other injunctive relief.

10. Is there any money available now?

No money or benefits are available now because the Court has not yet decided whether AT&T Wireless or Cingular did anything wrong, and the two sides have not settled the case. There is no guarantee that money or benefits ever will be obtained. If they are, you will be notified about how to ask for a share.

WHO IS IN THE CLASS

You need to decide whether you are affected by this lawsuit.

11. Am I a Class Member?

Judge Smith decided that all persons who have or had an AT&T Wireless account with a California area code and a California billing address and who purchased a locked handset from AT&T Wireless from March 12, 1999 through and including October 26, 2004 are members of the AT&T Wireless Class, and that all persons who have or had a Cingular account with a California area code and a California billing address and who purchased a locked handset (other than an iPhone) from Cingular from March 12, 1999 through and including December 31, 2009, and all persons who have or had an AT&T Wireless account with a California area code and a California billing address and who purchased a locked handset from AT&T Wireless from October 27, 2004 through and including December 31, 2009 are members of the Cingular Class.

Excluded from the Classes and all Subclasses are any judicial officer presiding over these actions, the members of his/her immediate family, and any juror assigned to these actions.

Judge Smith also specified that all persons who have or had an AT&T Wireless personal account with a California area code and a California billing address who purchased a locked handset from AT&T Wireless from March 12, 1999 through and including October 26, 2004 are members of the AT&T Wireless Consumer Subclass, and that all persons who have or had a Cingular personal account with a California area code and a California billing address and who purchased a locked handset (other than an iPhone) from Cingular from March 12, 1999 through and including December 31, 2009, and all persons who have or had an AT&T Wireless personal account with a California area code and a California billing address and who purchased a locked handset from AT&T Wireless from October 27, 2004 through and including December 31, 2009 are members of the Cingular Consumer Subclass.

Judge Smith also ordered that all members of the AT&T Wireless Consumer Subclass who are or were parties to AT&T Wireless Service Agreements dated January 1, 2001 through and including October 26, 2004 are members of the AT&T Wireless Arbitration Subclass,

and that all members of the Cingular Consumer Subclass who are or were parties to Cingular Service Agreements dated January 1, 2001 through December 31, 2009, or to an AT&T Wireless Service Agreement dated after October 26, 2004 are members of the Cingular Arbitration Subclass.

Excluded from the Classes and all Subclasses are any judicial officer presiding over these actions, the members of his/her immediate family, and any juror assigned to these actions.

YOUR RIGHTS AND OPTIONS

You have to decide whether to stay in the Class or ask to be excluded before the trial, and you have to decide this now.

12. What happens if I do nothing at all?

You don't have to do anything now if you want to keep the possibility of getting money or benefits from these lawsuits. By doing nothing you are staying in the Class of which you are a member. If you stay in and the Plaintiffs obtain money or benefits for your Class, as a result of either the trial or a settlement, you will be notified about whether you will need to apply for a share, and if so, how to do so. Keep in mind that if you do nothing now, regardless of whether the Plaintiffs win or lose the trials, you will not be able to sue, or continue to sue, AT&T Wireless or Cingular, respectively – as part of any other lawsuit – about the same legal claims that are the subject of these lawsuits. You will also be legally bound by all of the Orders the Court issues and judgments the Court makes.

13. Why would I ask to be excluded?

If you are a member of the AT&T Wireless Class and you already have your own lawsuit against AT&T Wireless for locking your handset and want to continue with it, or you are a member of the Cingular Class and you already have your own lawsuit against Cingular for locking your handset and want to continue with it, you need to ask to be excluded from the Class of which you are a member. If you exclude yourself from the Class – which also means to remove yourself from the Class, and is sometimes called “opting-out” of the Class – you won't get any money or benefits from that lawsuit even if the Plaintiffs obtain them as a result of the trial or from any settlement (that may or may not be reached) between AT&T Wireless or Cingular and the Plaintiffs. However, you may then be able to sue or continue to sue AT&T Wireless or Cingular for locking a handset that you purchased at any time. If you exclude yourself, you will not be legally bound by the Court's judgments in the class action from which you have opted out.

14. How do I ask the Court to exclude me from the Class?

To ask to be excluded from the AT&T Wireless Class, you must send a letter, postmarked by March 15, 2010, to AT&T Wireless Handset Locking Exclusions, P.O. Box 8060, San Rafael, CA 94912-8060, stating that you want to be excluded from the Class in *Meoli, et al. v. AT&T Wireless et al.* To ask to be excluded from the Cingular Class, you must send a

letter, postmarked by March 15, 2010, to Cingular Handset Locking Exclusions, P.O. Box 8060, San Rafael, CA 94912-8060, stating that you want to be excluded from the Class in *Mendoza, et al. v. Cingular Wireless et al.* Be sure to include your name, address and telephone number, and sign the letter. This letter must be actually received by the addressee by March 15, 2010.

THE LAWYERS REPRESENTING YOU

15. Do the Class Members have a lawyer in these cases?

The Court appointed the law firms of Bramson, Plutzik, Mahler & Birkhaeuser, LLP, of Walnut Creek, CA, and Law Offices of Scott A. Bursor, of New York, NY, to represent the plaintiffs and all Class Members in both the *Meoli* and *Mendoza* actions. Together the law firms are called "Class Counsel." More information about these law firms, their practices, and their lawyers' experience is available at www.bramsonplutzik.com and www.bursor.com.

16. Should I get my own lawyer?

If you choose to remain in the Class, you do not need to hire your own lawyer because Class Counsel is working on your behalf. But, if you want your own lawyer, you will be responsible for paying that lawyer. For example, you can ask him or her to appear in Court for you if you want someone other than Class Counsel to speak for you.

17. How will the lawyers be paid?

If Class Counsel get money or benefits for the Class in either *Meoli* or *Mendoza*, they may ask the Court for fees and expenses. You won't have to pay these fees and expenses now. If the Court grants Class Counsel's request, the fees and expenses would be either deducted from any money obtained for the Class in that case or paid separately by either AT&T Wireless or Cingular, as the case may be.

THE TRIAL

The Court has scheduled trials to decide who is right in both of these cases.

18. How and when will the Court decide who is right?

As long as the case isn't resolved by a settlement or otherwise, Class Counsel will have to prove the Plaintiffs' claims in these cases, including at trials if necessary. There is no guarantee that the Plaintiffs will win, or that they will get any money for either the AT&T Wireless Class or the Cingular Class.

19. Do I have to come to the trial?

The Court has not yet decided how any trials are to be conducted. You do not need to attend the trials. Class Counsel will present the case for the Plaintiffs, and AT&T Wireless and Cingular, respectively, will present their defenses. You or your own lawyer are welcome to attend either trial at your own expense. If you are needed, you will be contacted at the appropriate time.

20. Will I get money after the trials?

If the Plaintiffs obtain money or benefits as a result of a trial or a settlement of claims for a class of which you are a member, you will be notified about how to participate. You also may be notified in the event the plaintiffs are unsuccessful. We do not know how long this will take.

GETTING MORE INFORMATION

21. Are more details available?

Visit the website, www.attlockinglawsuits.com, where you will find the Court's 11/25/09 Order Granting Motions for Class Certification in the two cases, the Court's 12/17/09 Order on Definition of Class in Cingular and AWS Handset Cases, the Court's further order regarding class definition dated January ___, 2010, the Plaintiffs' Complaints, the Defendants' Answers and Affirmative Defenses to the Complaints, and contact information for Class Counsel. You may also contact Class Counsel by writing to: *AT&T Wireless/Cingular Locking Class Actions*, P.O. Box 8060, San Rafael, CA 94912-8060. Please do not contact the Court, AT&T Wireless, Cingular or AT&T Mobility regarding this Notice or the lawsuit itself.

DATE: _____, 2010

EXHIBIT B

LEGAL NOTICE

If you bought a cellphone other than an iPhone from AT&T Wireless, Cingular or AT&T Mobility, class action lawsuits may affect your rights.

You may be affected by two class action lawsuits alleging that AT&T Wireless Services, Inc. and affiliated companies ("AT&T Wireless") and Cingular Wireless LLC, now known as AT&T Mobility, and affiliated companies ("Cingular") violated California law by locking customers' handsets to make it difficult for them to switch cell phone service providers without buying a new handset, and by failing to disclose that such handsets are locked.

The lawsuits are called *Meoli, et al. v. AT&T Wireless PCS, LLC, et al.*, Case No. RG 03086113, and *Mendoza, et al. v. Cingular Wireless LLC, et al.*, Case No. RG 03114152, and are in the Superior Court of California, County of Alameda. The Court decided that these lawsuits should be class actions on behalf of "Classes," or groups of people, one or both of which could include you. This notice summarizes your rights and options. More information is in a detailed notice available at the website below. If you're included in the Class in either case, you have to decide whether to stay in the Class and be bound by whatever results, or ask to be excluded and keep your right to sue AT&T Wireless or Cingular, as the case may be. There is no money available now and no guarantee that there will be.

ARE YOU AFFECTED?

All persons who have or had an AT&T Wireless account with a California area code and a California billing address and who purchased a locked handset from AT&T Wireless from March 12, 1999 through and including October 26, 2004 are members of the "AT&T Wireless Class." All persons who have or had a Cingular account with a California area code and a California billing address and who purchased a locked handset (other than an iPhone) from Cingular from March 12, 1999 through and including December 31, 2009, and all persons who have or had an AT&T Wireless account with a California area code and a California billing address and who purchased a locked handset from AT&T Wireless from October 27, 2004 through and including December 31, 2009 are members of the "Cingular Class." In addition, each class has two subclasses: The "AT&T Wireless Consumer Subclass" consists of all persons who have or had an AT&T Wireless personal account with a California area code and a

California billing address who purchased a locked handset from AT&T Wireless from March 12, 1999 through and including October 26, 2004, and the AT&T Wireless Arbitration Subclass consists of all members of the AT&T Wireless Consumer Subclass who are or were parties to AT&T Wireless Service Agreements dated January 1, 2001 through and including October 26, 2004. The Cingular Consumer Subclass consists of all persons who have or had a Cingular personal account with a California area code and a California billing address and who purchased a locked handset (other than an iPhone) from Cingular from March 12, 1999 through and including December 31, 2009, and all persons who have or had an AT&T Wireless personal account with a California area code and a California billing address and who purchased a locked handset from AT&T Wireless from October 27, 2004 through and including December 31, 2009, and the Cingular Arbitration Subclass consists of all members of the Cingular Consumer Subclass who are or were parties to Cingular Service Agreements dated January 1, 2001 through December 31, 2009, or to an AT&T Wireless Service Agreement dated after October 26, 2004. Excluded from the Classes and all Subclasses are any judicial officer presiding over these actions, the members of his/her immediate family, and any juror assigned to these actions.

WHAT ARE THESE CASES ABOUT?

The lawsuits claim that AT&T Wireless and Cingular violated California law by locking cellphone handsets to make it difficult for customers to switch cell phone service providers without purchasing a new handset, and also by failing to disclose material facts, including that such handsets are locked. The suit seeks monetary damages and injunctive relief.

AT&T Wireless and Cingular deny the allegations against them in the lawsuits. The Court has not decided whether the Classes' claims have any merit. Before it certified the Classes, the Court ruled against six of the fourteen Class Representatives on their individual claim that AT&T Wireless and Cingular failed to disclose that their handsets are locked. The Court otherwise found that the claims of the Class Representatives could proceed.

WHO REPRESENTS CLASS MEMBERS?

The Court appointed Bramson, Plutzik, Mahler & Birkhaeuser, LLP, of Walnut Creek, CA, and the Law Offices of Scott A. Bursor, of New York, NY, to represent all class members as "Class Counsel" in both cases. You may hire your own lawyer to appear in Court for you if you wish; but if you do, you will be responsible for paying that lawyer.

WHAT ARE YOUR OPTIONS ?

If you are a Class member in either of the two cases, you must decide now whether to stay in the Class in that case or not. If you stay in the Class, you will be legally bound by all orders and judgments of the Court in that case, and you won't be able to sue, or continue to sue, the Defendants in that case -- as part of any other lawsuit -- for locking any cellphone you bought between March 12, 1999 and December 31, 2009. If money or benefits are obtained, you will be notified about how to get a share. To stay in the Class, you do not have to do anything now.

If you ask to be excluded from either the AT&T Wireless Class or the Cingular Class, you cannot get any money or benefits from the Defendants in that lawsuit if any are awarded, but you will keep any rights to sue the Defendants in that case for these claims, now or in the future, and will not be bound by any orders or judgments of the Court. To ask to be excluded from either case, send a letter to the address below, postmarked by March 15, 2010, stating that you want to be excluded from that lawsuit. The letter must actually be received by the addressee on or before March 15, 2010. Include your name, address, and telephone number, and sign the letter.

HOW CAN YOU GET MORE INFORMATION?

If you have questions or want a detailed notice or other documents about these lawsuits and your rights, visit www.attlockinglawsuits.com, e-mail class counsel at classcounsel@attlockinglawsuits.com or write to: *AT&T Wireless/Cingular Locking Class Actions*, P.O. Box 8060, San Rafael, CA 94912-8060. Please do not contact the Court, AT&T Wireless, Cingular or AT&T Mobility regarding this Notice or the lawsuits .

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

PROOF OF SERVICE

I am a resident of the State of California, over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action. My business address is Bramson, Plutzik, Mahler & Birkhaeuser, LLP, 2125 Oak Grove Road, Suite 120, Walnut Creek, California 94598. On January 21, 2010, I served the within documents:

AMENDED STIPULATION REGARDING CLASS DEFINITION

- by placing a copy of the document(s) listed above for collection and mailing following the firm's ordinary business practice in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid for deposit in the United States mail at Walnut Creek, California addressed as set forth below.
- by facsimile transmission on that date. This document was transmitted by using a Canon LC 710 facsimile machine that complies with California Rules of Court Rule 2003(3), telephone number (925) 945-8792. The transmission was reported as complete and without error.
- By causing personal delivery of a copy of the document(s) listed above to the person(s) as set forth below.
- by depositing a true copy of the same enclosed in a sealed envelope with delivery fees provided for an Overnite Express/Federal Express pick up box or office designated for overnight delivery, and addressed as set forth below.
- By pdf transmission on that date. These documents were transmitted via e-mail to the following e-mail addresses as set forth below.

Michael J. Stortz, Esq.
Beth O'Neal Arnese, Esq.
Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP
50 Fremont Street
San Francisco, CA 94105
Telephone: (415) 591-7500
Facsimile: (415) 591-7510
E-Mail: michael.stortz@dbr.com
E-Mail: beth.arnese@dbr.com

Christopher Hockett, Esq.
Davis Polk & Wardwell
1600 El Camino Real
Menlo Park, CA 94025
Telephone: (650) 752-2009
Facsimile: (650) 752-3649
Email: chris.hockett@dpw.com

Scott A. Bursor, Esq.
Law Offices of Scott A. Bursor
369 Lexington Avenue, 10th Floor
New York, NY 10017
Telephone: (212) 989-9113
Facsimile: (212) 989-9163
E-Mail: scott@bursor.com

Adam Gonnelli, Esq.
Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP
369 Lexington Avenue, 10th Floor
New York, NY 10017
Telephone: (212) 983-9330
Facsimile: (212) 983-9331
E-Mail: agonnelli@faruqilaw.com

1 James Michael Abernethy
2 Abernethy & Green
3 3838 N. Central Avenue, #1750
4 Phoenix, AZ 85012
5 Phone: 602-266-2222
6 Facsimile: 602-234-3758
7 Email: jim@abernethygreen.com

David Pastor, Esq.
Gilman And Pastor, LLP
63 Atlantic Avenue, Third Floor
Boston, MA 02110
Tel.: 617-742-9700
Fax: 617-742-9701
E-Mail: dpastor@gilmanpastor.com

6 Harvey Rosenfield, Esq.
7 Pamela M. Pressley, Esq.
8 Foundation for Taxpayer and
9 Consumer Rights
10 1750 Ocean Park Blvd., #200
11 Santa Monica, CA 90405-4938
12 Telephone: (310) 392-0522
13 Facsimile: (310) 392-8874
14 E-Mail: harvey@consumerwatchdog.org
15 E-Mail: Pam@consumerwatchdog.org

Jordan Lurie, Esq.
Weiss & Lurie
10940 Wilshire Blvd., 23rd Floor
Los Angeles, California 90024
Telephone: (310) 208-2800
Fax: (310) 209-2348
E-Mail: jlurie@wllawca.com

11 Donald M. Falk, Esq.
12 Mayer, Brown, Rowe & Maw, LLP
13 Two Palo Alto Square, Suite 300
14 3000 El Camino Real
15 Palo Alto, CA 94306-2112
16 Telephone: (650) 331-2000
17 Facsimile: (650) 331-2060
18 E-Mail: dfalk@mayerbrown.com

Marc G. Reich, Esq.
Reich Radcliffe LLP
4675 MacArthur Court, Suite 550
Newport Beach, CA 92660
Telephone: (949) 975-0512
Facsimile: (949) 975-0514
E-Mail: mgr@reichradcliffe.com

16 Seamus Duffy, Esq.
17 William Connolly, Esq.
18 Drinker Biddle & Reath, LLP
19 One Logan Square
20 18th & Cherry Street
21 Philadelphia, PA 19103-6996
22 Telephone: (215) 988-2700
23 Facsimile: (215) 988-2757
24 E-Mail: seamus.duffy@dbr.com
25 E-Mail: William.Connolly@dbr.com

22 I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collecting and processing correspondence
23 for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on the same
24 day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on
25 motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter
26 date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

27 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is
28 true and correct, executed on January 21, 2010, at Walnut Creek, California.



Debbie Schroeder